

Diaqua(1,4,8,11-tetraazacyclotetradecane)nickel(II) fumarate tetrahydrate

Shao Liang Lim,^a Chew Hee Ng,^a Siang Guan Teoh,^b Wan-Sin Loh^{c‡} and Hoong-Kun Fun^{c*§}^aFaculty of Engineering and Science, Universiti Tunku Abdul Rahman, 53300 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia, ^bSchool of Chemical Science, Universiti Sains Malaysia, 11800 USM, Penang, Malaysia, and ^cX-ray Crystallography Unit, School of Physics, Universiti Sains Malaysia, 11800 USM, Penang, Malaysia

Correspondence e-mail: hkfun@usm.my


Received 13 May 2010; accepted 27 May 2010

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; $T = 100$ K; mean $\sigma(\text{C}-\text{C}) = 0.002$ Å; R factor = 0.035; wR factor = 0.133; data-to-parameter ratio = 30.2.

The asymmetric unit of the title complex salt, $[\text{Ni}(\text{C}_{10}\text{H}_{24}\text{N}_4)(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2](\text{C}_4\text{H}_2\text{O}_4)\cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$, comprises half of a nickel(II) complex dication, half of a fumarate dianion and two water molecules. Both the Ni^{II} cation and fumarate anion lie on a crystallographic inversion center. The Ni^{II} ion in the cyclam complex is six-coordinated within a distorted N_4O_2 octahedral geometry, with the four cyclam N atoms in the equatorial plane and the two water molecules in apical positions. The six-membered metalla ring adopts a chair conformation, whereas the five-membered ring exists in a twisted form. In the crystal packing, intermolecular $\text{O}-\text{H}\cdots\text{O}$ hydrogen bonds between the water molecules and the carboxyl groups of the fumarate anions lead to the formation of layers with $R_4^2(8)$ ring motifs. Ni^{II} complex cations are sandwiched between two such layers, being held in place by $\text{O}-\text{H}\cdots\text{O}$, $\text{N}-\text{H}\cdots\text{O}$ and $\text{C}-\text{H}\cdots\text{O}$ hydrogen bonds, consolidating a three-dimensional network.

Related literature

For the background to and the biological activity of cyclam, see: Kim *et al.* (2006); Hunter *et al.* (2006); Gerlach *et al.* (2003); Paisey & Sadler (2004). For a related structure, see: Panneerselvam *et al.* (1999). For puckering parameters, see: Cremer & Pople (1975). For hydrogen-bond motifs, see: Bernstein *et al.* (1995). For the stability of the temperature controller used for the data collection, see: Cosier & Glazer (1986).



Experimental

Crystal data

$[\text{Ni}(\text{C}_{10}\text{H}_{24}\text{N}_4)(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2](\text{C}_4\text{H}_2\text{O}_4)\cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$
 $M_r = 481.19$
 Triclinic, $P\bar{1}$
 $a = 6.9913$ (5) Å
 $b = 8.8313$ (7) Å
 $c = 9.3147$ (8) Å
 $\alpha = 73.165$ (2)°

$\beta = 79.207$ (2)°
 $\gamma = 85.227$ (2)°
 $V = 540.47$ (7) Å³
 $Z = 1$
 Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
 $\mu = 0.95$ mm⁻¹
 $T = 100$ K
 0.47 × 0.44 × 0.24 mm

Data collection

Bruker APEXII DUO CCD area-detector diffractometer
 Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Bruker, 2009)
 $T_{\text{min}} = 0.665$, $T_{\text{max}} = 0.805$

12800 measured reflections
 4295 independent reflections
 4219 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
 $R_{\text{int}} = 0.017$

Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.035$
 $wR(F^2) = 0.133$
 $S = 1.30$
 4295 reflections
 142 parameters

H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement
 $\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 1.27$ e Å⁻³
 $\Delta\rho_{\text{min}} = -1.18$ e Å⁻³

Table 1

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D-\text{H}\cdots A$	$D-\text{H}$	$\text{H}\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D-\text{H}\cdots A$
$\text{O1W}-\text{H1W1}\cdots\text{O3W}$	0.85	2.17	2.8047 (14)	131
$\text{O2W}-\text{H1W2}\cdots\text{O2}^{\text{i}}$	0.85	1.98	2.7026 (14)	142
$\text{O2W}-\text{H2W2}\cdots\text{O2}^{\text{ii}}$	0.85	1.91	2.7000 (15)	154
$\text{O3W}-\text{H1W3}\cdots\text{O1}^{\text{iii}}$	0.85	1.96	2.7633 (14)	157
$\text{O3W}-\text{H2W3}\cdots\text{O1}$	0.85	2.06	2.7968 (14)	144
$\text{N1}-\text{H1N1}\cdots\text{O2W}^{\text{iv}}$	0.88 (2)	2.19 (2)	3.0153 (15)	154 (2)
$\text{N2}-\text{H1N2}\cdots\text{O3W}^{\text{iv}}$	0.90 (2)	2.25 (2)	3.0769 (15)	153 (2)
$\text{C3}-\text{H3B}\cdots\text{O1}^{\text{v}}$	0.97	2.60	3.3850 (18)	138

Symmetry codes: (i) $x, y, z - 1$; (ii) $-x + 1, -y, -z + 1$; (iii) $-x + 1, -y + 1, -z + 1$; (iv) $-x + 1, -y + 1, -z$; (v) $-x + 2, -y + 1, -z$.

Data collection: APEX2 (Bruker, 2009); cell refinement: SAINT (Bruker, 2009); data reduction: SAINT; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXTL; molecular graphics: SHELXTL; software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL and PLATON (Spek, 2009).

SLL, CHN and SGT thank the Malaysian Government and the Ministry of Science, Technology and Innovation (MOSTI) (eSc 02-02-11-SF0033). CHN and SLL also thank the UTAR Research Fund. HKF and WSL thank Universiti Sains

‡ Thomson Reuters ResearcherID: C-7581-2009.

§ Thomson Reuters ResearcherID: A-3561-2009.

Malaysia (USM) for the Research University Golden Goose Grant (1001/PFIZIK/811012). WSL also thanks the Malaysian Government and USM for the award of Research Fellowship.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: TK2677).

References

- Bernstein, J., Davis, R. E., Shimoni, L. & Chang, N.-L. (1995). *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **34**, 1555–1573.
- Bruker (2009). *APEX2*, *SAINT* and *SADABS*. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Cosier, J. & Glazer, A. M. (1986). *J. Appl. Cryst.* **19**, 105–107.
- Cremer, D. & Pople, J. A. (1975). *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **97**, 1354–1358.
- Gerlach, L. O., Jakbsen, J. S., Jensen, K. P., Rosenkilde, M. R., Skerlj, R. T., Ryde, U., Bridger, G. J. & Schwartz, T. W. (2003). *Biochemistry*, **42**, 710–717.
- Hunter, T. M., McNae, I. W., Simpson, D. P., Smith, A. M., Moggach, S., White, F., Walkinshaw, M. D., Parsons, S. & Sadler, P. J. (2006). *Chem. Eur. J.* **13**, 30–40.
- Kim, J. C., Lough, A. J., Park, H. & Kang, Y. C. (2006). *Inorg. Chem. Commun.* **9**, 514–517.
- Paisey, S. J. & Sadler, P. J. (2004). *Chem. Commun.* pp. 306–307.
- Panneerselvam, K., Lu, T.-H., Chi, T.-Y., Liao, F.-L. & Chung, C.-S. (1999). *Acta Cryst.* **C55**, 543–545.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). *Acta Cryst.* **A64**, 112–122.
- Spek, A. L. (2009). *Acta Cryst.* **D65**, 148–155.

supplementary materials

Acta Cryst. (2010). E66, m737-m738 [doi:10.1107/S1600536810020064]

Diaqua(1,4,8,11-tetraazacyclotetradecane)nickel(II) fumarate tetrahydrate

S. L. Lim, C. H. Ng, S. G. Teoh, W.-S. Loh and H.-K. Fun

Comment

The antiviral properties of cyclam (1,4,8,11-tetraazacyclotetradecane) have stimulated interest in metal complexes of this ligand (Kim *et al.*, 2006). Besides its antiviral property, [Ni(cyclam)(OAc)₂] also has protein recognition potential (Hunter *et al.*, 2006). Amongst the metal ions investigated, coordination of Ni^{II} to cyclam rings bridged by 1,4-dimethylene(phenylene) was reported to result in greatest enhancement of its antiviral property (Gerlach *et al.*, 2003). However, the rate of complexation of Ni^{II} to cyclam is the poorest compared to Cu^{II}, Zn^{II} and Co^{II} (Paisey *et al.*, 2004). In this paper, we report the crystal structure of the title compound, obtained by the reaction of a nickel(II) salt, cyclam and sodium fumarate.

The title compound, Fig. 1, consists of one nickel(II) complex cation, one fumarate anion and four water molecules. Both Ni^{II} ion and fumarate anion lie on a crystallographic inversion center, generated by the symmetry codes $-x+2, -y+1, -z$ and $-x+1, -y, -z+1$, respectively. The Ni^{II} complex of cyclam has six-coordination in a distorted octahedral geometry, with the four ligand N atoms (N1/N2/N1A/N2A) almost coplanar with the Ni^{II} ion and the two water molecules (O1W & O1WA) in apical positions. The six-membered ring (Ni1/N1/C1–C3/N2) exists in a chair conformation with the puckering parameters (Cremer & Pople, 1975) $Q = 0.5900$ (14) Å; $\Theta = 9.05$ (13)° and $\varphi = 192.1$ (9)°. In the five-membered ring, Ni1/N1/C5/C4A/N2A is twisted about the C5–C4A bond with the puckering parameters (Cremer & Pople, 1975) $Q = 0.4382$ (14) Å and $\varphi = 271.34$ (14)°. This structure is comparable to a closely related structure (Panneerselvam *et al.*, 1999).

In the crystal packing (Fig. 2), intermolecular O_{water}—H···O_{carboxylate} hydrogen bonds (Table 1) link with the carboxyl groups of the fumarate anions into a two-dimensional layers with $R^2_4(8)$ ring motifs (Bernstein *et al.*, 1995). The Ni^{II} complex cations are linked to these layers by O_{aquo}—H···O_{water}, N_{amine}—H···O_{water}, C3—H3B···O_{carboxylate} hydrogen bonds (Table 1) to form a three-dimensional network.

Experimental

Nickel chloride hexahydrate (0.24 g, 1 mmol), cyclam (0.22 g, 1 mmol) and sodium fumarate (0.16 g, 1 mmol) were dissolved in water and heated overnight in a water bath at 313 K. Purple crystals were obtained from the yellow solution.

Refinement

N-bound H atoms (H1N1 & H2N1) were located from the difference map and refined freely. The O-bound H atoms were also located in a difference map but were then fixed in their as found positions with $U_{iso}(H) = 1.5 U_{eq}(O)$. The remaining H atoms were positioned geometrically and refined using a riding model, with $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2$ or $1.5 U_{eq}(C)$ [C–H = 0.93 or 0.97 Å; N–H = 0.85 (2) to 0.86 (2) Å; O–H = 0.8482 to 0.8537 Å]. The maximum and minimum residual electron density peaks of 1.300 and -1.178 eÅ^{-3} , respectively, were located 0.36 Å and 0.94 Å from the N1 and Ni1 atoms, respectively.

Figures




Fig. 1. The molecular structure of the title complex, showing 50% probability displacement ellipsoids and the atom-numbering scheme. Symmetry-related atoms of the Ni^{II} complex ion and fumarate anion are generated by the symmetry codes $-x+2$, $-y+1$, $-z$ and $-x+1$, $-y$, $-z+1$, respectively.




Fig. 2. The crystal packing of the title compound, viewed approximately along the a axis, showing the three-dimensional network. H atoms not involved in the intermolecular interactions (dashed lines) have been omitted for clarity.

Diaqua(1,4,8,11-tetraazacyclotetradecane)nickel(II) fumarate tetrahydrate

Crystal data

$[\text{Ni}(\text{C}_{10}\text{H}_{24}\text{N}_4)(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2](\text{C}_4\text{H}_2\text{O}_4)\cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$

$M_r = 481.19$

Triclinic, $P\bar{1}$

Hall symbol: $-P\ 1$

$a = 6.9913$ (5) Å

$b = 8.8313$ (7) Å

$c = 9.3147$ (8) Å

$\alpha = 73.165$ (2)°

$\beta = 79.207$ (2)°

$\gamma = 85.227$ (2)°

$V = 540.47$ (7) Å³

$Z = 1$

$F(000) = 258$

$D_x = 1.478$ Mg m⁻³

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å

Cell parameters from 9982 reflections

$\theta = 3.8\text{--}35.1$ °

$\mu = 0.95$ mm⁻¹

$T = 100$ K

Block, purple

$0.47 \times 0.44 \times 0.24$ mm

Data collection

Bruker APEXII DUO CCD area-detector diffractometer

4295 independent reflections

Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube graphite

4219 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

φ and ω scans

$R_{\text{int}} = 0.017$

$\theta_{\text{max}} = 34.0$ °, $\theta_{\text{min}} = 3.0$ °

Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Bruker, 2009)

$h = -10 \rightarrow 10$

$T_{\text{min}} = 0.665$, $T_{\text{max}} = 0.805$

$k = -13 \rightarrow 13$

12800 measured reflections

$l = -14 \rightarrow 14$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2

Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map

Least-squares matrix: full	Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.035$	H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement
$wR(F^2) = 0.133$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0892P)^2 + 0.062P]$
$S = 1.30$	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
4295 reflections	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\max} < 0.001$
142 parameters	$\Delta\rho_{\max} = 1.27 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
0 restraints	$\Delta\rho_{\min} = -1.18 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods	Extinction correction: <i>SHELXTL</i> (Sheldrick, 2008), $F_c^* = kFc[1+0.001xFc^2\lambda^3/\sin(2\theta)]^{-1/4}$
	Extinction coefficient: 0.75 (4)

Special details

Experimental. The crystal was placed in the cold stream of an Oxford Cryosystems Cobra open-flow nitrogen cryostat (Cosier & Glazer, 1986) operating at 100.0 (1) K.

Geometry. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted R-factor wR and goodness of fit S are based on F^2 , conventional R-factors R are based on F , with F set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating R -factors(gt) etc. and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. R -factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on F , and R -factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (\AA^2)

	x	y	z	$U_{\text{iso}}^*/U_{\text{eq}}$
Ni1	1.0000	0.5000	0.0000	0.00889 (11)
O1W	0.70136 (13)	0.43457 (11)	0.07879 (11)	0.01471 (17)
H1W1	0.5819	0.4284	0.1199	0.022*
H2W1	0.7631	0.3578	0.1313	0.022*
N1	0.90487 (15)	0.73294 (12)	-0.04325 (12)	0.01220 (18)
N2	0.97429 (15)	0.48188 (12)	-0.21216 (12)	0.01229 (18)
C1	0.97192 (19)	0.83674 (14)	-0.19756 (15)	0.0160 (2)
H1A	1.1117	0.8476	-0.2125	0.019*
H1B	0.9108	0.9411	-0.2068	0.019*
C2	0.9231 (2)	0.77130 (15)	-0.32091 (15)	0.0188 (2)
H2A	0.7853	0.7497	-0.2980	0.023*
H2B	0.9461	0.8528	-0.4173	0.023*
C3	1.0368 (2)	0.62134 (16)	-0.34023 (14)	0.0168 (2)
H3A	1.0178	0.6032	-0.4344	0.020*
H3B	1.1746	0.6357	-0.3474	0.020*
C4	1.08394 (18)	0.33580 (15)	-0.22827 (14)	0.0154 (2)
H4A	1.2220	0.3563	-0.2578	0.018*

supplementary materials

H4B	1.0424	0.3011	-0.3070	0.018*
C5	0.95195 (18)	0.79227 (14)	0.07821 (15)	0.0144 (2)
H5A	0.8713	0.8855	0.0855	0.017*
H5B	1.0873	0.8217	0.0545	0.017*
O1	0.52695 (16)	0.26163 (11)	0.55707 (11)	0.01632 (18)
O2	0.52311 (19)	0.08177 (12)	0.78098 (11)	0.0224 (2)
C11	0.51455 (17)	0.12258 (13)	0.64080 (13)	0.0124 (2)
C12	0.48943 (17)	-0.00891 (13)	0.57443 (12)	0.0121 (2)
H12A	0.4574	-0.1080	0.6408	0.014*
O2W	0.52940 (14)	0.20503 (11)	0.01387 (11)	0.01441 (17)
H1W2	0.5831	0.1689	-0.0597	0.022*
H2W2	0.5251	0.1297	0.0954	0.022*
O3W	0.42354 (14)	0.50357 (11)	0.31238 (11)	0.01463 (18)
H1W3	0.4170	0.5898	0.3362	0.022*
H2W3	0.3993	0.4291	0.3948	0.022*
H1N1	0.779 (3)	0.719 (3)	-0.034 (3)	0.018 (5)*
H1N2	0.849 (3)	0.463 (3)	-0.210 (3)	0.015 (5)*

Atomic displacement parameters (\AA^2)

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
Ni1	0.00948 (13)	0.00806 (13)	0.00991 (13)	-0.00033 (7)	-0.00201 (7)	-0.00347 (8)
O1W	0.0114 (4)	0.0161 (4)	0.0187 (4)	-0.0023 (3)	-0.0003 (3)	-0.0089 (3)
N1	0.0115 (4)	0.0103 (4)	0.0151 (4)	-0.0006 (3)	-0.0026 (3)	-0.0038 (3)
N2	0.0125 (4)	0.0134 (4)	0.0120 (4)	-0.0008 (3)	-0.0025 (3)	-0.0047 (3)
C1	0.0183 (5)	0.0108 (4)	0.0175 (5)	-0.0020 (4)	-0.0043 (4)	-0.0006 (4)
C2	0.0237 (6)	0.0158 (5)	0.0163 (5)	-0.0013 (4)	-0.0087 (4)	-0.0003 (4)
C3	0.0212 (5)	0.0180 (5)	0.0111 (4)	-0.0034 (4)	-0.0030 (4)	-0.0029 (4)
C4	0.0170 (5)	0.0161 (5)	0.0153 (5)	-0.0003 (4)	-0.0011 (4)	-0.0089 (4)
C5	0.0143 (5)	0.0117 (4)	0.0193 (5)	-0.0008 (3)	-0.0023 (4)	-0.0080 (4)
O1	0.0251 (5)	0.0105 (4)	0.0131 (4)	-0.0020 (3)	-0.0028 (3)	-0.0029 (3)
O2	0.0434 (6)	0.0145 (4)	0.0110 (4)	-0.0018 (4)	-0.0080 (4)	-0.0041 (3)
C11	0.0158 (5)	0.0113 (4)	0.0110 (4)	-0.0002 (3)	-0.0017 (3)	-0.0050 (3)
C12	0.0153 (5)	0.0107 (4)	0.0105 (4)	-0.0004 (3)	-0.0019 (3)	-0.0037 (3)
O2W	0.0174 (4)	0.0131 (4)	0.0146 (4)	-0.0020 (3)	-0.0032 (3)	-0.0061 (3)
O3W	0.0175 (4)	0.0144 (4)	0.0134 (4)	-0.0017 (3)	-0.0034 (3)	-0.0052 (3)

Geometric parameters (\AA , $^\circ$)

Ni1—N1 ⁱ	2.0564 (10)	C2—H2B	0.9700
Ni1—N1	2.0565 (10)	C3—H3A	0.9700
Ni1—N2	2.0699 (10)	C3—H3B	0.9700
Ni1—N2 ⁱ	2.0699 (10)	C4—C5 ⁱ	1.5153 (18)
Ni1—O1W	2.1478 (9)	C4—H4A	0.9700
Ni1—O1W ⁱ	2.1478 (9)	C4—H4B	0.9700
O1W—H1W1	0.8499	C5—C4 ⁱ	1.5153 (18)
O1W—H2W1	0.8506	C5—H5A	0.9700
N1—C5	1.4747 (16)	C5—H5B	0.9700

N1—C1	1.4772 (17)	O1—C11	1.2496 (14)
N1—H1N1	0.88 (2)	O2—C11	1.2615 (14)
N2—C3	1.4745 (16)	C11—C12	1.5007 (16)
N2—C4	1.4761 (16)	C12—C12 ⁱⁱ	1.330 (2)
N2—H1N2	0.90 (2)	C12—H12A	0.9300
C1—C2	1.5279 (19)	O2W—H1W2	0.8501
C1—H1A	0.9700	O2W—H2W2	0.8496
C1—H1B	0.9700	O3W—H1W3	0.8482
C2—C3	1.5253 (19)	O3W—H2W3	0.8537
C2—H2A	0.9700		
N1 ⁱ —Ni1—N1	180.0	C2—C1—H1B	109.2
N1 ⁱ —Ni1—N2	85.49 (4)	H1A—C1—H1B	107.9
N1—Ni1—N2	94.51 (4)	C3—C2—C1	115.74 (11)
N1 ⁱ —Ni1—N2 ⁱ	94.51 (4)	C3—C2—H2A	108.3
N1—Ni1—N2 ⁱ	85.49 (4)	C1—C2—H2A	108.3
N2—Ni1—N2 ⁱ	179.999 (1)	C3—C2—H2B	108.3
N1 ⁱ —Ni1—O1W	91.94 (4)	C1—C2—H2B	108.3
N1—Ni1—O1W	88.06 (4)	H2A—C2—H2B	107.4
N2—Ni1—O1W	88.73 (4)	N2—C3—C2	111.79 (10)
N2 ⁱ —Ni1—O1W	91.27 (4)	N2—C3—H3A	109.3
N1 ⁱ —Ni1—O1W ⁱ	88.06 (4)	C2—C3—H3A	109.3
N1—Ni1—O1W ⁱ	91.94 (4)	N2—C3—H3B	109.3
N2—Ni1—O1W ⁱ	91.27 (4)	C2—C3—H3B	109.3
N2 ⁱ —Ni1—O1W ⁱ	88.73 (4)	H3A—C3—H3B	107.9
O1W—Ni1—O1W ⁱ	180.0	N2—C4—C5 ⁱ	109.50 (10)
Ni1—O1W—H1W1	165.2	N2—C4—H4A	109.8
Ni1—O1W—H2W1	77.0	C5 ⁱ —C4—H4A	109.8
H1W1—O1W—H2W1	107.7	N2—C4—H4B	109.8
C5—N1—C1	113.05 (9)	C5 ⁱ —C4—H4B	109.8
C5—N1—Ni1	106.83 (7)	H4A—C4—H4B	108.2
C1—N1—Ni1	116.66 (8)	N1—C5—C4 ⁱ	109.30 (9)
C5—N1—H1N1	112.6 (16)	N1—C5—H5A	109.8
C1—N1—H1N1	108.2 (16)	C4 ⁱ —C5—H5A	109.8
Ni1—N1—H1N1	98.8 (16)	N1—C5—H5B	109.8
C3—N2—C4	112.55 (10)	C4 ⁱ —C5—H5B	109.8
C3—N2—Ni1	114.93 (8)	H5A—C5—H5B	108.3
C4—N2—Ni1	105.98 (7)	O1—C11—O2	124.55 (11)
C3—N2—H1N2	109.8 (15)	O1—C11—C12	119.64 (10)
C4—N2—H1N2	105.3 (14)	O2—C11—C12	115.81 (10)
Ni1—N2—H1N2	107.8 (15)	C12 ⁱⁱ —C12—C11	123.39 (13)
N1—C1—C2	111.84 (10)	C12 ⁱⁱ —C12—H12A	118.3
N1—C1—H1A	109.2	C11—C12—H12A	118.3
C2—C1—H1A	109.2	H1W2—O2W—H2W2	107.7
N1—C1—H1B	109.2	H1W3—O3W—H2W3	107.5

supplementary materials

N2—Ni1—N1—C5	-166.65 (8)	O1W—Ni1—N2—C4	-106.83 (7)
N2 ⁱ —Ni1—N1—C5	13.35 (8)	O1W ⁱ —Ni1—N2—C4	73.17 (7)
O1W—Ni1—N1—C5	104.78 (8)	C5—N1—C1—C2	179.36 (10)
O1W ⁱ —Ni1—N1—C5	-75.22 (8)	Ni1—N1—C1—C2	54.91 (12)
N2—Ni1—N1—C1	-39.09 (9)	N1—C1—C2—C3	-69.36 (15)
N2 ⁱ —Ni1—N1—C1	140.91 (9)	C4—N2—C3—C2	-179.50 (10)
O1W—Ni1—N1—C1	-127.66 (8)	Ni1—N2—C3—C2	-58.06 (12)
O1W ⁱ —Ni1—N1—C1	52.34 (8)	C1—C2—C3—N2	71.78 (14)
N1 ⁱ —Ni1—N2—C3	-139.74 (9)	C3—N2—C4—C5 ⁱ	166.48 (10)
N1—Ni1—N2—C3	40.26 (9)	Ni1—N2—C4—C5 ⁱ	40.06 (11)
O1W—Ni1—N2—C3	128.21 (9)	C1—N1—C5—C4 ⁱ	-168.52 (10)
O1W ⁱ —Ni1—N2—C3	-51.79 (9)	Ni1—N1—C5—C4 ⁱ	-38.86 (11)
N1 ⁱ —Ni1—N2—C4	-14.78 (7)	O1—C11—C12—C12 ⁱⁱ	11.2 (2)
N1—Ni1—N2—C4	165.22 (7)	O2—C11—C12—C12 ⁱⁱ	-168.24 (16)

Symmetry codes: (i) $-x+2, -y+1, -z$; (ii) $-x+1, -y, -z+1$.

Hydrogen-bond geometry (\AA , $^\circ$)

$D-H\cdots A$	$D-H$	$H\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D-H\cdots A$
O1W—H1W1 \cdots O3W	0.85	2.17	2.8047 (14)	131.
O2W—H1W2 \cdots O2 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.85	1.98	2.7026 (14)	142.
O2W—H2W2 \cdots O2 ⁱⁱ	0.85	1.91	2.7000 (15)	154.
O3W—H1W3 \cdots O1 ^{iv}	0.85	1.96	2.7633 (14)	157.
O3W—H2W3 \cdots O1	0.85	2.06	2.7968 (14)	144.
N1—H1N1 \cdots O2W ^v	0.88 (2)	2.19 (2)	3.0153 (15)	154 (2)
N2—H1N2 \cdots O3W ^v	0.90 (2)	2.25 (2)	3.0769 (15)	153 (2)
C3—H3B \cdots O1 ⁱ	0.97	2.60	3.3850 (18)	138.

Symmetry codes: (iii) $x, y, z-1$; (ii) $-x+1, -y, -z+1$; (iv) $-x+1, -y+1, -z+1$; (v) $-x+1, -y+1, -z$; (i) $-x+2, -y+1, -z$.

Fig. 1




Fig. 2

